

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,699	11/25/2003	Hans Kelpe	VERA.030D1	6280
7	590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Attention of: Mark A. Hollingsworth			WALKER, ZAKIYA NICOLE	
Crawford Maur	nu PLLC		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 390	1 Deigo		3672	TAI ER NOMBER
1270 Northland Drive St. Paul, MN 55120			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/721,699	KELPE, HANS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Zakiya N. Walker	3672			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of the reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this con ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status		į			
1) Decreasive to communication(s) filed on			- .		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	——· his action is non-final.				
		prosecution as to the	marite ie		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	i, 455 O.G. 215.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 40-57 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 24-39 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		٠		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTC	D-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
		0(-) (-) (0			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli	ication No	Stage		
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date	150)		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03252004,04052004</u>. 	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-	102)		

Art Unit: 3672

, . . ·

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "provide" in line 1 should be deleted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 21-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,408,952. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant invention claim a broader invention than the US'952 claim. The US'952 patent claims a system for operating an HDD machine (having a drill string and cutter) comprising a remote unit with transceiver (locator), a user interface, and a controller (which performs various functions). The claims of the instant invention are drawn towards a much broader system that includes

Application/Control Number: 10/721,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

a locator, a user interface, and a controller (which performs much broader functions). Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as made to have claimed the broader system along with the US'952 patent in order to obtain broader coverage of the invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-20 and 40-57 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 24-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zakiya N. Walker whose telephone number is (703) 305-0302. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 6:30 AM-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zakiya N. Walker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672 Page 4

ΖW

August 18, 2004